

MARTIN D. HAVERLY
ATTORNEY AT LAW
Two East 7th Street
Suite 201
Wilmington, Delaware 19801

Licensed in Delaware
and Pennsylvania

Telephone
(302)654-2255
Facsimile
(302)654-0175

March 20, 2007

Via E-filing


The Honorable Mary Pat Thyng
United States District Court
844 North King Street
Lock Box 8
Wilmington, DE 19801

RE: Glace, et al. v. Internal Medicine of Bridgeville, et al.
C. A. No.: 06-767-***

Dear Judge Thyng:

Attached please find a proposed Scheduling Order in the above captioned case. I look forward to the teleconference with Your Honor scheduled for tomorrow at 8:00 a.m.

Respectfully submitted,


Martin D. Haverly

MDH/acs

cc: The Neuberger Firm
James E. Liguori, Esquire (w/encl. via e-filing & facsimile)

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

ROBIN GLACE, MARGARET
KINNAMON, SHERRY MCCANE and
DIXIE SEMANS,

Plaintiffs,

v.

INTERNAL MEDICINE OF
BRIDGEVILLE, a sole proprietorship
operating in the State of Delaware, KENNETH
R. SMITH, M.D., individually as sole proprietor
of Internal Medicine of Bridgeville,

Defendants.

C.A. No. 06-767-***

Trial By Jury Demanded

SCHEDULING ORDER

This 21st day of March, 2007, the Court having conducted an initial Rule 16 scheduling and planning conference pursuant to Local Rule 16.2(a) on March 21, 2007;

IT IS ORDERED that:

1. Rule 26(a)(1) Initial Disclosures and E-Discovery Default Standard.

Unless otherwise agreed to by the parties, the parties shall make their initial disclosures pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(1) within five days of the date of this Order. If they have not already done so, the parties are to review the Court's Default Standard for Discovery of Electronic Documents, which is posted at <http://www.ded.uscourts.gov> (see Orders, etc., Policies & Procedures, Ad Hoc Committee for Electronic Discovery), and is incorporated herein by reference.

2. Joinder of other Parties and Amendment of Pleadings. All motions to join other parties, and to amend or supplement the pleadings shall be filed on or before May 4, 2007.

3. Discovery

- a. Limitation on Hours for Deposition Discovery. Each side is limited to a total of 20 hours of taking testimony by deposition upon oral examination.
- b. Location of Depositions. Any party or representative (officer, director, or managing agent) of a party filing a civil action in this district court must ordinarily be required, upon request, to submit to a deposition at a place designated within this district. Exceptions to this general rule may be made by order of the Court. A defendant who becomes a counterclaimant, cross-claimant, or third-party plaintiff shall be considered as having filed an action in this Court for the purpose of this provision.
- c. Discovery Cut Off. All discovery in this case shall be initiated so that it will be completed on or before November 16, 2007. The Court encourages the parties to serve and respond to contention interrogatories early in the case. Unless otherwise ordered by the Court, the limitations on discovery set forth in Local Rule 26.1 shall be strictly observed.
- d. Disclosure of Expert Testimony. For the party who has the initial burden of proof on the subject matter, the initial Federal Rule 26(a)(2) disclosure of expert testimony is due on or before September 21, 2007. The supplemental disclosure to contradict or rebut evidence on the same matter identified by another party is due on or before October 22, 2007. Along with the submissions of the expert reports, the parties shall advise of the dates and times of their experts' availability for deposition.

To the extent any objection to expert testimony is made pursuant to

the principles announced in *Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharm., Inc.*, 509 U.S. 579 (1993), as incorporated in Federal Rule of Evidence 702, it shall be made by motion no later than the deadline for dispositive motions set forth herein, unless otherwise ordered by the Court.

e. Discovery Disputes. Should counsel find they are unable to resolve a discovery dispute, the party seeking the relief shall contact chambers at (302) 573-6173 to schedule a telephone conference. Not less than forty-eight hours prior to the conference, the party seeking relief shall file with the Court a letter, not to exceed three pages, outlining the issues in dispute and its position on those issues. (The Court does not seek extensive argument or authorities at this point; it seeks simply a statement of the issue to be addressed and a summary of the basis for the party's position on the issue.) Not less than twenty-four hours prior to the conference, any party opposing the application for relief may file a letter, not to exceed three pages, outlining that party's reasons for its opposition. Should the Court find further briefing necessary upon conclusion of the telephone conference, the Court will order it. Disputes over protective orders are to be addressed in the first instance in accordance with this paragraph.

4. Application to Court for Protective Order. Should counsel find it will be necessary to apply to the Court for a protective order specifying terms and conditions for the disclosure of confidential information, counsel should confer and attempt to reach an agreement on a proposed form of order and submit it to the Court within ten days from the date of this Order. Should counsel be unable to reach an agreement on a proposed form of order, the counsel must first follow the provisions of Paragraph 3e above.

Any proposed order should include the following paragraph:

Other Proceedings. By entering this order and limiting the disclosure of information in this case, the Court does not intend to preclude another court from finding that information may be relevant and subject to disclosure in another case. Any person or party subject to this order who becomes subject to a motion to disclose another party's information designated "confidential" pursuant to this order shall promptly notify that party of the motion so that the party may have an opportunity to appear and be heard on whether that information should be disclosed.

5. Papers Filed Under Seal. When filing papers under seal, counsel should deliver to the Clerk an original and one copy of the papers.

6. ADR Process. Mediation before Magistrate Thyng will be held on May ____, 2007, beginning at _____.m.

7. Interim Status Report. On September __, 2007, counsel shall submit a letter to the Court with an interim report on the nature of the matters in issue and the progress of discovery to date.

8. Status Conference. On September __, 2007, the Court will hold a Rule 16(a), (b) and (c) conference by telephone with counsel beginning at _____.m. Plaintiff's counsel shall initiate the telephone call. At the time of this conference, counsel shall also be prepared to discuss the progress, if any, of settlement discussions and shall be prepared to discuss the possibility of setting up a settlement conference with the Court, counsel and their clients. If all parties agree that there is nothing to report, nor anything to add to the interim status report or to this order, they shall notify the Court in writing before the conference is scheduled to occur, and the conference will be taken off the Court's calendar.

9. Case Dispositive Motions. All case dispositive motions, an opening brief, and affidavits, if any, in support of the motion shall be served and filed on or before January 4, 2008. Briefing will be presented pursuant to the Court's Local Rules.

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE